

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/692,935	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOODS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert Sellers	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert Sellers.

(2) Stephen D. Harper.

**Status of Application: Appeal**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 October 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-34*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*Fock et al. Patent No. 4,460,746*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The modifications to the title and claims set forth in the attached examiner's amendment places the application in condtion for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)